	WEITUL PROTECTION	
NG.BI	1 Car	
E FI	ORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0250302 DATE: <u>6/21/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:50am</u>	DEPART: <u>12:05pm</u>	
FACILITY NAME: CENTRAL CONCRETE SUPERI	MIX		
FACILITY LOCATION: 9795 SW 170TH ST			
MIAMI 33157-4330			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: FR Email: FRANK@SUPERMIX.COM CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/18/2011 / 2/18/201 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(305)262-3250 (305)525-2282	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
 PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u> 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Roberto Gomez</u> Brief Notes: 	<u>Z</u>	(check \square only one box for each question)	
 Is the Authorized Representative still FRANK PEREZ If no, who is?: 	Z?	YesNo	
If different, did the facility provide an administrative 3. Is the facility contact still ? If no, who is?:	update within 30 days?	YesNo YesNo	
 Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 1 	inspection? 15 days in advance?	⊠ Yes □No ⊠ Yes □No	

Emissions Unit Section

1 - CCB Plant-silo (cement)w/silotopbaghse&weighhopperw/baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 10/29/2010 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No	
operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 10/29/2010	Xes Yes	🗌 No	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>25</u> tons/hour	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No	
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? 25 tons/hour 	Yes Yes	□ No □ No	
 k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
 c. Did the visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the inglisst six-influte average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	🗌 No	
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? X Yes No N/A – silo not loaded during inspection. e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? Yes Yes No f. What was the silo loading rate? 25 tons/hour 			
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	\bigvee_{L} Yes	🗌 No	
 If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 	Yes	🗌 No	
duration?		🗌 No	
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll			
 conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute 	? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	No No	
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		□ No	
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.			

Emissions Unit Section

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 10/19/2010	(check \square only one box for each question)	
 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No
 c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	Yes	🗌 No
 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour 	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
 k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	Xes Yes	🗌 No
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	D No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co	nducted at a ra	ate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \bigotimes Yes \Box No \Box N/A - silo not load	led during insp	pection.
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		
f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>25</u> tons/hour		L No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	🛛 Yes	□ No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to</i> 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? 		_
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to</i> 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?		No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions g.1</i>) – <i>g.3</i>) <i>below. If answer NO, then skip g.1</i>) – <i>g.3</i>) <i>and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?		□ No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions</i> g.1) – g.3) <i>below. If answer NO, then skip</i> g.1) – g.3) <i>and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions</i> g.1) – g.3) <i>below. If answer NO, then skip</i> g.1) – g.3) <i>and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		 No No No No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions</i> g.1) – g.3) <i>below. If answer NO, then skip</i> g.1) – g.3) <i>and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		 No No No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions</i> g.1) – g.3) <i>below. If answer NO, then skip</i> g.1) – g.3) <i>and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat duration?		 No No No No No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	•
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	- 🛛 Yes - 🗌 Yes	No No No No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 	_	🛛 No
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 		🛛 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 		□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal prop275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propa		0?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consur for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control	V Var	
devices?	🖂 Yes	∐ No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	Yes	🗌 No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹	
1. Is the facility: stationary \square ; relocatable \square ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \square	box for each	question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the follow	ing question 2.))
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Ves	🗌 No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900	0(6)]	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? -	🗌 Yes	No No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900	(6)]	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation	? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation p	ermit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	_	_
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usa	ge)? 📙 Yes	No No
If YES, what was the purpose?		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was	—	
co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes	🗌 No
CHANGES	(1 1 1	
	(check 🗹	
Administrative Changes:	box for each	question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent	tative not	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? -		🛛 No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?		$\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🛛 No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🗍 Yes	No No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?		🖂 No
d. A change in ownership?		🛛 No

4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	
	30 days prior to the change? Yes	

MARUFUL MALIK

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

6/21/2012

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

6/21/2011

COMMENTS: On June 21, 2011 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection and to witness the visible emissions tests. Facility operates one day a week and produces approximately 600 yards of cement per month.Bill Arlington, Arlington Environmental Services, conducted the VE tests and the cement was pumping from outside. No fugitive emissions were observed around the facility.